

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/553,175	10/14/2005	Thomas Chmielewski	PHUS030090US	. 1219		
38107 7	590 10/18/2006		EXAMINER			
	ELLECTUAL PROPE	SHRIVASTAV, BRIJ B				
595 MINER RO CLEVELAND		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	, 011		2859			

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	- · <u>,, · · · ,</u>								
		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			10/553,17	5	CHMIEŁEWSKI ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Brij B. Shri		2859				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication app	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.13 nunication. atutory period w will, by statute,	ATE OF TH 36(a). In no ever ill apply and will cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be tim expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of				
Status									
1) 🖾	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 14 O	ctoher 2004						
· —	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 October 2005</u> . This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.								
•	<i>,</i> —								
الــارە	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	closed in decordance with the practi	oc under E.	A parte Que	1910, 1933 C.D. 11, 40	J3 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)🛛	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.								
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or	r election re	quirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					•			
9)[]	The specification is objected to by th	e Examine	г.						
,	•			objected to by the l	Examiner.	•			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including					FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	-	•			• •			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					•			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			4) Interview Summary					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/14/05. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20/14/05.									

Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claims are not being directed to a practical application by physical transformation. Thus, the invention as claimed does not produce a useful, concrete and tangible result. The claim(s) language suggest only a combination of instructions to combine the signals received by the first and second loops in a quadrature mode and not using any structure to provide a useful, concrete and tangible end result (see independent claims 1, 8, and 14).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, as the phrase "the first loop with RF signals associated with the second loop" does not recite the proper structure require by the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2859

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6-11,13-17,19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Molyneaux (US 5,394,087).

As regards to claims 1 and 8, Molyneaux teaches a magnetic resonance imaging apparatus, a main magnet, a gradient coil and a RF transmitter and a RF receiver coil(s); the RF receiving coil including a first loop and a second loop, wherein the first loop and the second loop being disposed substantially in a similar plane (figures 1, 2, numerals 10, 14, 30, 32). Further, Molyneaux teaches a signal combiner for combining the signals received by the first and second loops in a quadrature mode (figures 1, 11, numeral 36, 50, 54, 70, 72; column 4-5).

As regards to claim 14, Molyneaux teaches a magnetic resonance RF coil assembly, including a first loop being disposed in a first plane; a second loop being disposed in the second plane; the first and the second planes being non-orthogonal; and has a signal combiner for quadrature combining RF signals associated with the first and second loops (figures 1, 2 and 11; column 4-5).

As regards to claims 2-4, 6, 7, 9-11, 13, 15-17, 19 and 20, Molyneaux further teaches the two coils overlap to each other using switch for changing different modes, and having geometric centers perpendicular to the main magnetic field, and the received having being in x and y directions, perpendicular to the z direction (the main magnetic field direction) (figure 1, 26,7, 8-11; see column 3-5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5, 12, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Molyneaux, as applied to claims 1, 8 and 14 above, and further in view of Boskemp et al (US 6,639,406).

As regards to claims 5, 12 and 18, Molyneaux does not further teach 180 degree signal phase shift in the first and second loops in the first direction. Boskemp et al teach 180 degree signal phase shift in the first and second loops in the first direction. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt teaching of Boskemp et al with the teaching of Molyneaux to improve S/N ratio of the signal received, improving image quality.

- 5. Applicant is advised to take patent US 5,621,323 into consideration for the response to this Office action, as this art can also be used as anticipatory or in combination rejection.
- 6, Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brij B. Shrivastav whose telephone number is 571-272-2250. The examiner can normally be reached on 7 AM to 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego F. F. Gutierrez can be reached on 571-272-2245. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/553,175

Art Unit: 2859

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the 7. Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

October 13, 2006

Pfimary Examiner Art Unit 2859

Page 5